

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,386	09/11/2003	Stephen Szczesuil	NA-1234	6938
7590 04/20/2005			EXAMINER	
U.S. Army Soldier Systems Center			LEE, EDMUND H	
Kansas Street Natick, MA 01760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,386	SZCZESUIL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-23,29 and 30 is/are  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 24-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accomplication and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e withdrawn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/02.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/27/05.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 24-30 in the reply filed on 1/27/05 is acknowledged.
- 3. Upon further review of the elected claims, the following restriction has been found to be appropriate.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 24-28, drawn to a method for producing an article, classified in class 264, subclass 238.
  - II. Claim 29 and 30, drawn to an article, classified in class 5, subclass 413R and class 135, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

5. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as injection molding or cast molding the sheet with the groove.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. If Group II is elected then the following species election is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - a) the claim directed to a sleeping bag.
  - b) the claim directed to a tent floor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386

Art Unit: 1732

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Ranucci on 3/30/05 provisional election was made without oral traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 24-28.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

  Claims 29-30 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

  CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386

Art Unit: 1732

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 5

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alsberg (WO 95/25249). Alsberg teaches the claimed process as evidenced by pg 3, lns 9-40; and figs 1-7). As a note, it should be known that the embossing step of Alsberg inherently teaches a die having the claimed groove design.
- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alsberg (WO 95/25249). The above teachings of Alsberg are incorporated hereafter. Alsberg, however, does not teach using a die of aluminum; using a sheet of methane foam; and using the die at the claimed parameters. In regard to using a die of aluminum, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the aluminum dies are well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an aluminum die in the embossing

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

step of Alsberg in order to facilitate the forming of the grooves. In regard to using a sheet of methane foam, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, methane foam is well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use methane foam in the process of Alsberg in order to facilitate the forming of the grooves. In regard to using the die at the claimed parameters, molding parameters such as temperature and pressure are wellknown in the molding art as important molding parameters and the desired temperature and pressure would have been obviously and readily determined through routine experimentation by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Further, claimed temperature and pressure are generally well-known in the molding art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the dies of Alsberg at the claimed parameters in order to efficiently and effectively form the grooves of Alsberg.

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 4817707 teaches the state of the art.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,386

Art Unit: 1732

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**EHL** 

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

2/18/00

EDMUND H. LEE